

SENATOR AVERY: No.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Is there anything that prevents a person from shooting fish in one of these settings?

SENATOR AVERY: I would believe...shooting fish...in terms of...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Like in a barrel.

SENATOR AVERY: Bow and arrow, you could probably do it with bow and arrow, no there wouldn't. In terms of a gun I think the hunter safety aspects of that would keep you from shooting into water.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Where in the law does there say...does it say that in this setting where you're giving these brave trackers and "huntspersons" the right to go out and shoot these animals that have been reared around human beings and maybe they lose their fear of human beings, you have a situation where you can do that with birds, show me where it says that cannot be done with fish, and I'm talking about shooting fish in this area.

SENATOR AVERY: I would have to...nothing before me, but I think it says in other parts of regulation that fish...regulation in terms of how fish can be taken, with rod and reel.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Is that on private property?

SENATOR AVERY: I think it's statewide, private or public property.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So if a person had a lake or a lagoon or a pool which contained fish, the fish could be removed only with rod and reel? Is that what you're telling me?

SENATOR AVERY: Right.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And I want to see something to that effect. And I'm not going to resist the adoption of Senator Hudkins' amendment, because as Senator Beutler pointed out it would be best to have all of this before us. But I just don't like the bill, I don't like the idea, I don't like hunting and I don't like hunters either. And I'll make it clear, I think it's cruel. And there is a streak that you find in people in America which manifests itself in things like the Oklahoma City bombing,