

some substantive changes in that some fees that are charged, as we have done in other provisions of...with the Game and Parks Commission allowing a range, and that happened to be a \$10 fee that's on recall pens that are used in controlled shooting areas and dog training areas, they have a range from...not less than \$10 and not more than 13. And it restates some terminology in terms of marked birds versus tagged or banded. Another provision eliminates two permit provisions, a game fancier permit, a commercial game farm permit and just establishes a new captive wildlife permit at 25, and they will deal with provisions under their rules and regulations on birds that are used by individuals for dog training purposes. In terms of a commercial game permit they also provide that not less than 25, which it currently is, and not more than 30. Secondly, one newer provision is that they have a captive wildlife auction permit which includes the ranging to not less than \$50 and not more than 65. Again, conforming to the practices that they have been moving towards. We have a considerable increase, I think, in the number of these exotic farms and the types of animals, and we've also seen a marked increase in the number of these auctions that are happening and individuals potentially probably making quite a bit of money off of contracting the sale of these. Other provisions provide a nonresident controlled shooting area permit for nonresidents that is the same as the resident hunting license of 8.50. So it will always fluctuate in terms of these controlled shooting areas, those that are nonresidents will pay whatever the hunting license permit is. Again, giving them the range that the resident hunting license is. What I would consider it deletes other statutory provisions and kind of cleans up language and regulatory authority. In addition, it also separates the states aquaculture statutes from the captive wildlife statutes, which is basically a housekeeping provision. I will try to answer any questions. Thank you.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Avery. For discussion on the Hudkins amendment, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam President, members of the Legislature, when Senator Bettler said that he didn't see any really significant policy issues in this bill he was talking about the limited area of his discussion. But I see a policy issue in terms of this kind of activity, so I would like to ask Senator Hudkins a question or two. And if she doesn't know the answers, she can refer the questions to whoever might.