

And that's what her amendment seeks to do, if I'm correctly characterizing it, and she will explain it for herself in just a moment. So, when you get to the Hudkins amendment then that distinction between private and commercial that I described to you in the committee amendments, that's retained, that's retained. That distinction is still made. And then there is the adoption of a two-mile rule in instances where the commercial area is established first, and a more complicated rule in other instances. And I think I'm best off letting Senator Hudkins tell you how she thinks that rule operates. It is a little complicated, but your choice on that essential question will be between the committee amendments and the Hudkins amendment. And then the Hudkins amendment goes on to pick up a little more of the details that we didn't pick up from LB 576, some of the technical details and makes a couple technical changes. and there may be some other things in there that she would want to explain to you. But I think that this concept or this disagreement is the central thing that needs to be resolved. And if we can resolve that, hopefully we can move on without many hours of debate on this bill. Is there any major policy decision being made by this bill? Personally I think not. I think you should understand in terms of the overall guiding, regulatory framework that with regard to controlled shooting areas, as it is in current law, no more than 1 percent of the land of a county can be in a controlled shooting area. Okay? So, no matter what, there's the 1 percent limitation and neither the committee amendment nor the Hudkins amendment does anything with that. There is still the overlying 1 percent limitation in terms of the total acreage of controlled shooting area that can be, whether it's commercial or private, and that to me is probably the most important policy consideration, and that's not involved here. I don't see really that wildlife as a whole is seriously disadvantaged by either the Hudkins or the committee amendment. I don't think there's a big policy decision there. There are some humanitarian questions that will be brought up by Senator Preister, and with respect to the general hunter out there in the public I don't think that either the Hudkins amendment or the committee amendments really changes their access to land and markets and that sort of thing, basically because the 1 percent overall limitation is left in place. So...

SENATOR CROSBY PRESIDING

SENATOR HUDKINS: One minute.