

have some difficulties already on trying to find places to cut to fit the bills that we have, and I am convinced, well I'm not convinced, I am hopeful that the body will be able to make some of those cuts and the decisions. And particularly I'm looking at 425 as a place we can make a start. But if you vote for this bill and there's some things I like in the bill, in fact, I like all of the things in the bill, library acquisition certainly I support, 309 Task Force certainly I support, but the point I'm trying to get across is, of the 17 million that you have for A bills and if I remember correctly we're about \$27 million short on the amount of dollars we need to fund that which we have on Final Reading and Select File already. If we agree to this bill, then you're going to cut the 17 down to less than 10. So you're going to increase the problem that we have and what the body needs to be asking is, those bills we have on Final Reading and Select File, are these things more important or as important as those? I like what we have here. I don't think we could do it at this point. I'm going to be voting no because I think we need the \$17 million to work with, fund what even we have at this point. So I wanted to bring that to your attention. The \$17 million that we have for A bills goes down to less than 10 with the advancement of this particular bill.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Bernard-Stevens. Senator Robinson.

SENATOR ROBINSON: Madam President, members of the body, I agree with everything Senator Bernard-Stevens said. We talk about a 4 percent lid on subdivisions and with this \$7 million being a wish list, I agree, it's one of the best trailer bills I've seen but I cannot support the trailer bill when we're facing the putting the clamps on subdivisions and I rise to oppose the passage of LB 281.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Robinson. Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Madam President, members of the Legislature, a couple things. First is that I, too, do not intend to support the advancement of this bill. I guess it's immaterial whether the committee amendments is adopted or not, but...and for the reasons it's time to start. I also understand that it's time and I suspect will occur that as the process goes along some of the tax expenditures will probably have some revisions as well. But one thing I do want to say because I've noticed it has been repeated a couple of times, we tend to talk about the local cap.