

SENATOR CROSBY: Senator Elmer.

SENATOR ELMER: Could I have the question repeated, please.

SENATOR JANSSEN: I haven't asked any questions yet, Senator.

SENATOR ELMER: Oh...

SENATOR JANSSEN: Would you answer some though?

SENATOR ELMER: If I possibly can.

SENATOR JANSSEN: All right, thank you. Now this amendment would be all according to local control. The local governing bodies would decide whether they wanted to adopt this. Am I right there?

SENATOR ELMER: That's affirmative, Senator Janssen. Correct.

SENATOR JANSSEN: All right, and then it would...of course you would have to abide by the state liquor laws or any liquor laws that that city or public entity has in place, county or so on.

SENATOR ELMER: That's correct.

SENATOR JANSSEN: Everything...all right. And...I think I'm going to support your amendment because I believe with the advent of more gambling coming on, the keno parlors may have a little problem here and, thank you, Senator Elmer, I will support your amendment.

SENATOR ELMER: Thanks.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Janssen. Senator Vrtiska.

SENATOR VRTISKA: Thank you, Madam President, members of the body, I had a couple of questions for Senator Elmer but I think they've pretty well been answered. One of them had dealing with why the twice a week. I was curious as why picked out twice a week and the other question I had, I guess the most concern I had was after a tavern closes at one o'clock or whatever time, the problems that might develop with actually no authorization to sell liquor and the temptation that might be happen, but as I reviewed the bill I come to the conclusion I guess as the city allows it, the city can also take it away, is that right?