

May 4, 1995

LB 168

I don't know if they mean Jackson Jefferson or Jefferson Jackson or Andrew Jackson. They didn't make it clear. But at least...Senator Beutler says Andrew Jackson.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Withem gives the high sign. They get an A. Senator Lindsay gets an F. But he gets an A for effort. So when you have A on one end, F on the other, it averages out to a C. So he falls where the average American is, which is a C, meaning they don't know anything. But to keep them willing to work and participate in the game, we're not going to destroy their will to participate. Senator Lindsay is trying to see whether I really knew who the person was. Senator Lindsay thought I knew the quote but I didn't know who gave it. (Laughter.) So he was testing me and I give him another A for that which raises him to about a B plus. At any rate though, these...these subjects, to me, are very interesting, not in the sense of having somebody so caught up in it and their mind is so engaged that they listen to this in the same way that they would hang onto some...

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...television presentation.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Madam President and members of the Legislature, this is...this will be my last time speaking on the bill, assuming it doesn't go on and on. But what I wanted to do was to finish up and continue the discussion with respect to the attitude of the Supreme Court towards the just compensation provision of the United States Constitution historically. And, as I had indicated in my previous remarks, I believe that the history of the matter has been in favor of increasing protection of property rights, especially in this twentieth century, and contrary to what's been indicated on the floor there has not been an erosion of property rights with respect to this particular protection of the United States Constitution. I had related to you before earlier on the Pennsylvania Coal case, in 1926, which started to add some protections for the property owners and for a good 50 years after that the Supreme Court did not did not take up any other just compensation cases but rather let the district courts and the Federal Appeals Courts work