

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes, I would like a roll call vote.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: There's been a request for a roll call vote. Mr. Clerk, please proceed. The question before you is the adoption of the Chambers' amendment to the Chambers' amendment to LB 168.

CLERK: (Roll call vote taken. See pages 1976-77 of the Legislative Journal.) 6 ayes, 20 nays on the amendment.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: The Chambers' amendment fails. I raise the call.

CLERK: Senator Chambers would move to amend his amendment. (FA192 appears on page 1977 of the Legislative Journal.)

PRESIDENT ROBAK: The Chair recognizes Senator Chambers to open on his amendment.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam President and members of the Legislature, the way my amendment is drafted now it mentions that the underlying right to be dealt with is the right peaceably to assemble and petition the government and any department thereof. The amendment that was just rejected, and I wanted a record vote, was to say that people should not have this same right to petition an agency. And I think that vote was probably taken because people were unaware of what they were voting on, or maybe they were. I also am going to share with you something that I told Senator Warner. Senator Warner was the only one who voted against having a call of the house, but I told him that he will never succeed in having that vote prevail because the ones who don't want a call of the house will not here to vote against it. But if they stay here in order to vote against the call of the house, I won't need a call of the house. So I'm in a win-win situation no matter what. That shows the value of these kinds of discussions. But what this particular amendment that I have says is that there should be an analysis of any potential impact on the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and so forth. I'm adding a sentence which would say, a detailed narrative explaining such potential impact shall be prepared and maintained by the agency and shall be available for public inspection and copying during regular business hours. It wouldn't make much sense nor would it have logic to say we will require this analysis of the impact on this right and then not