

SENATOR BEUTLER: Yes.

SENATOR ABOUD: Okay. Well I'll be happy to...I'll talk to the Department of Labor about it as far as if they don't have a concern about the bill. The bill was originally brought on behalf of the Department of Labor to make the boiler inspection process self-funding so it wouldn't require state funds to help operate. And if it doesn't have a change in its fiscal impact then I'll be supportive of it when it comes up again. And if the Department of Labor is in agreement on the amendment at this time, then I'll support the amendment. Thank you.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Well maybe I would pass the rest of my time to...well it's not my time anymore I guess.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Fisher, it is your time.

SENATOR FISHER: Mr. President, members, I have been in contact with Senator Beutler. It's kind of been one of those things we talk about, then we don't and all of a sudden it shows up. But I think we're both in agreement on it that this was a bill brought to me by the Department of Labor. They would not like...they'd prefer not to have this amendment in there. They think that the bill as it was drafted was fine, but they have no objection to the Beutler amendment and I guess I would like to see it not being in there, but I think in the essence of getting what the Department of Labor wants on the boiler inspections, trying to make it a positive, self-supporting division of the Department of Labor, that I'll go ahead and vote for and approve and support Senator Beutler's amendment at this time. If there's any other questions I'll be glad to help. It's been kind of a...it's been interesting running back and forth trying to get all three parties together and I know Senator Beutler does have some concerns but...and the Department of Labor is supportive of this amendment. I guess I should say they're not "objectable" to the amendment, maybe not supportive, but not "objectable".

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Beutler, yours is the only light on. Would you like this to constitute your closing?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Yes, I would make this my closing. Again, all the amendment does is to say, with regard to those companies that have no insurance, that the inspection will continue to be