

close.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Mr. President and members. The amendment before you is a rewrite of the bill. I stated that in the opening. I stated that on General File. It's been printed for a month for folks to take a look at. It's a slightly different approach, but it addresses the principal issue, which I understood to be the need for the entire bill, and that was the issue of notification, that notice be given for purposes of the ability to, if possible, first, identify the biological father and then get relinquishment for purposes of the adoption, but the notice, merely the notice of the fact that the individual, that the man, was a father in this case, was one of the key ingredients to this overall process. It does not, as... as some have stated, strip the integrity from the bill. It includes a number of the provisions that are, and in many cases they're identical in their wording to LB 712. That clearly there is a difference that shows up in the form of the publication notice. That's not included in this. It does not include the requirement or the spelling out of the guardian ad litem and it is a, I guess, a modified version of 712 in that it does not have all the hoops and the hurdles that have to be jumped through or jumped over respectively with regard to the measures that are in the bill. But it does take care of the problem as I listened and as I've learned from the folks who are involved in this on a day to day basis and that have been spelled out by some of these cases. Again, the thought that somehow we are going to prevent individuals from not telling the truth by passing these types of proposals is just not there and Senator Matzke has admitted that when we debated this the last time on either Select or General File when we had an exchange on the floor and he's been very forthright in that regard. And I appreciate all the work that he and others have done on this proposal, but this is an alternative measure that incorporates many of the same components that are in the bill but, with those two major exceptions I believe, covers the bases so that there will not be the kind of problem down the road that folks wanted to make sure that we protected when the interim study was put together, when the bills were introduced at committee and since we've been debating them here, both on General and Select File. With that, I appreciate the input from others and the debate that took place and offer this alternative proposal and would urge your adoption of it. With that, Mr. President, I would just ask for a board vote on the issue when the balance of the members have joined us.