

two judges or however many engaged in the decision, there was a violation or a misuse or an abuse of discretion. They stated something which the facts show obviously was not as they stated it. Two days is not too long and there's a difference between saying you have two days to decide to sign this relinquishment and saying, after you signed it, you have two days, because if you don't do something within these two days it's over.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: That's the least we can offer.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Bromm.

SENATOR BROMM: Thank you, Madam President, and I'll be relatively brief. Senator Chambers has raised the case and the circumstances that recently was a decision of the Court of Appeals in the Jones case and it is a heart-ringing case, however, when you...when you read the facts of the case, to be fair, you need to... need to understand that this was a lady that apparently decided that financially or for whatever reasons it was going to be very difficult for her to... to provide the kind of environment for the child that she would like to see it have. She participated during the nine-month period prior to birth with a adoption agency in actually selecting the parents that would...that would be the adoptive parents for the child. The baby was born on a day at 2:14...at 2:14 in the morning. The afternoon, after the birth of the baby, she had a conference in the hospital with the birth father and the counselor from the adoption agency and again discussed the possibility and probability of giving the child up and it appears from the facts that that was made very, very clear at that point in time and the birth father was with her when the discussion occurred. She asked if she could have the baby at home for two hours before taking it to the...to the agency for relinquishment after they were discharged from the hospital. She did that then the next day and it wasn't until February 28th, which would have been about four days after the birth of the child that she changed her mind and asked that the child be returned. So, by that time, the child had been placed with an adoptive home so even under this amendment, even under this amendment we would have had presumably the same result unless... unless the 48 hours would have been a longer period of time. So it's a, you know, it's a tough, it's a tough case. It appears, as you read the facts, and I would encourage those of you to do that, it's in