

affects very few adoptions because those adoptions that we're concerned about have not been, in every case, a problem where you have the conflict and the potential of the father interjecting themselves. But Senator Chambers is talking about every adoption that occurs being affected so that every adoption that would take place would now have the opportunity for the mother involved to change their mind 48 hours after relinquishing their child. This is a very, very important change, very significant change, but it's also, I think, worthy of examination. So what I want to do is rise in opposition at this point to the amendment but also commit to Senator Chambers and to the Legislature that I would be willing to include this as an interim study and a focus for the committee over the course of this next year and a willingness to work with Senator Chambers on following up on this issue next session, following the results of that study. So I...I see adoption as not something you ever totally resolve. It's something that you find concerns, you respond to concerns and you deal with those concerns. But you find that, for instance, this particular issue on 712 is very timely and needs attention. Senator Chambers has now raised another additional issue that was not part of the interim study, was not part of the hearings that we held, was not brought up until here on Select File. And so I don't know how the reaction would be to people out there and I must say that, and I guess we'll get to this point on another issue later on, but trying to judge what is important and what is significant and what views others have on issues is not very easy to gauge on this. We're kind of doing the best we can as we feel our way along but, in my view, this is significant enough that we should take more time with it. But, again, I want to acknowledge to Senator Chambers that he's hit upon a very important issue. It needs to be looked at and perhaps there is, in fact, a way we can move forward on it, but I'm very concerned about allowing everybody a chance to have input on it and adopting it now would not allow for that. So I would ask your opposition to the Chambers amendment at this time and, again, promise to Senator Chambers to work on the issue with him over the course of the interim.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Wesely. Senator Bromm.

SENATOR BROMM: Thank you, Mr. President, and colleagues, I rise with some reservations to oppose the Chambers amendment. I think he's raised a good issue. I compliment him for raising it. I have an amendment which I hope we get to which will come