

exemptions that are given. And I think that the whole distinction between equipment and other property is totally meaningless now also. And either we have to go one way or another on some of this business and stop picking and choosing on the basis of meaningless distinctions and elevating one area of business over another. All business men deserve the same kinds of breaks and I don't think that LB 106 represents any sort of meaningful distinction from a whole number of other like situations that should either also be exempted or LB 106 should not pass. And this amendment is designed, hopefully, to illustrate to you the nonsense of the distinctions, of at least one of the distinctions purportedly made by LB 106. And I think you owe it to yourself, if you cannot discern the logic in distinguishing between the two, you owe it to yourself to vote for the amendment and for the logic of it. Thank you.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Beutler. The question before you is the adoption of the Beutler amendment to LB 106. All those in favor vote aye. All those opposed vote nay. Have you all voted? Please record.

CLERK: (Read the record vote. See pages 1929-30 of the Legislative Journal.) 5 ayes, 13 nays on the amendment, Madam President.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: The amendment fails. Is there anything further on the bill?

CLERK: I have nothing at this time, Madam President.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Is there any further discussion on the advancement of LB 106? Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam President,...

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Excuse me, Senator Chambers, you have spoken three times. I apologize. Senator Will.

SENATOR WILL: Madam President, I would yield my time to Senator Chambers.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Now, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Senator Will. Madam President and members of the Legislature, I just want to make one more comment