

injected into it when I'm offering something but there are others who have offered amendments and it wasn't brought into it. I came up here on the floor because I heard a discussion that Senator Hall was engaging in on an amendment of his that I thought would spotlight and highlight the nature of the underlying issues we deal with when we talk about tax exemptions. But the difference I say again between me and most of the senators on this floor is that I'm not going to get out of the debate, I'm not going to get out of the discussion, I'm here today and I'll be here on this bill on Select File. You all will never prevail on me by saying I've got the votes to just swallow spit and take low. I'm not going to do that and I thought it would be clear by this time but obviously it is not. This bill is not wise tax policy for all of the reasons that have been given by those who are in opposition. Now I know Senator Dierks has indicated that language, and this language in this bill came from the Revenue Department. That is not an argument that ever means anything to me. It just means they have poor legislation drafters like other special interest groups. I've seen stuff that Senator Avery brought and he would tell us that Game and Parks had dealt with it or the Agriculture Department and Senator... I don't know if... yes, Senator Dierks is on the Ag Committee. He has watched me literally dismantle bills that were brought to that committee and not once, especially when it was a bill they didn't like, did they say, Senator Chambers, you're being too harsh, you're taking too much time. I'll bet Senator Hillman felt like saying that when I was leading the charge against her bill to do away with corporate farming. I'm the one who grilled in specific detail with precise examples what corporate farming does to cause harm, what it had done in other states, mentioned corporations by name, mentioned states by name, gave figures and statistics, but at that time Ernie is all right because Ernie is dealing with what the rest of us haven't taken the time to master it to the extent that he has. But today I've got a bill that I want to zip on across and the thing in addition to others that ought to make you all go for it is that the Revenue Department gave some of the language. I take my responsibilities far more seriously than that. I have an obligation not just to the people who voted to send me down here, but to the people that I represent based on my racial phenotype. That's what they call when they don't want to say complexion or they want to sound deep. Too many times we are cast in the role of those who just try to go along, want to blend into the background and not rock the boat, don't upset the