

been told that it means or it means something else. If it means what we've been told, then my substitution of the word "means" for "shall include" would not pose a problem. Shall include is not a term of exclusivity. It means that what we've stated here is embraced in that term but other things are also embraced. So this definition of this term I thought would be more exact if we said, veterinary medicines means, and then we put these medicines and their purposes. Senator Warner's objection, if I understand it, is that by adding the words "to animals" might expand on the narrative. But I don't think that would happen because the narrative restricts the application of the definition. The narrative tells us about the application, but the definition tells us how the terms will be used, what they mean. So I don't believe, maybe we could do it, but I don't think it would stand up, try to define veterinary medicines to include specifically medicines administered by a veterinarian to a human being...

PRESIDENT ROBAK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...because that's not allowed under the practice of veterinary medicines so even if we gave a definition like that, that would not be valid. We cannot do indirectly what we cannot do directly. So I'm not talking about that when I speak of narrowing a definition. But Senator Dierks became irritated and said then he would not support this amendment and he would not support any other amendments. He likes the bill the way that it is. And furthermore, this language came from the Department of Revenue. I think I have stated the things that he told me on the floor while we were debating it.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Dierks wishes to announce that the following guests are visiting the Legislature. There are 28 fifth graders and their teacher here from Creighton Community School in Creighton, Nebraska. They are all in the north balcony. Will you stand and be recognized, please. Welcome to the Legislature. Senator Maurstad.

SENATOR MAURSTAD: Very briefly, Madam President, I support the bill the way it is and I support the bill the way Senator Chambers wishes to amend it and so I would stand in support of the motion to reconsider.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Maurstad. Is there any further discussion on the motion to reconsider? Seeing none,