

but in this one it probably would narrow the meaning a little bit if you add only the word "means" and that I would not see as particularly as a problem. But I did have a concern. The reason I voted no was the addition of the words "to animals", not being too sure that that may add a conflict between that section and the other section above and in any event was unnecessary.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Warner. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam President, members of the Legislature, since Senator Withem wasn't here, what had happened, Senator Withem, it reached a point where Senator Dierks and I couldn't agree to my agreeing to not try to do anything else on the bill at this time, but let it go to Select File. He was of the impression and stated it that it's a stall on my part. Now what I did not say at that time...I said a number of other things as you might imagine. But this bill has been discussed by others. There were amendments offered by others. There was a kill motion offered by another senator, but the only one to whom that comment was addressed was me. And my amendment is the easiest to understand, the most innocuous of the amendments. So I had indicated that I'm going to do on this bill and every other bill what I think needs to be done to it. I have done that all session. Pointed out that if it's my intent to delay a bill, I could have done it on this one as I pointed out by just going through my close and pulling the amendment then offering it again if my only intent was to delay the bill, but my belief is that when we're giving a definition we should be as precise in that definition as possible. If some people believe that veterinarian medicines can have only one meaning and one meaning only, there's no need for a definition. A definition is put in place because the word or the term can have more than one meaning and the meaning desired to be used for the purpose of granting this tax exemption is the definition which is given here. I had asked Senator Dierks would he want to strike all the definitions, but he didn't want to do that. Senator Warner touched on something that I mentioned in the process of offering my amendment and that was that I would not try to strike the words "shall include" from these other definitions because there would be such a multiplicity of varieties, kinds and types of feed and these other things that it would be impossible to name them all. So I was not going to strike the words "shall include" from the definition of those other terms. But to my way of thinking either veterinarian medicines means what we've