

PRESIDENT ROBAK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...this some of the time is more than my all of the time so we still come out about even,. This is a good amendment. If there is any area of the statute where we should try to be precise it's in the area of taxation. If there is any part of a statute where we ought to try to be precise, it's in the definition. Courts will often move from the text or narrative of a law and go to the definitional section to see what is actually meant. So if you don't want a clear definition, leave it the way it is. Then it's open to interpretation, it's open to expansion but nobody can say exactly to what extent that will occur. I offer you the amendment and I'm going to ask for a call of the house.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. There has been a request for a call of the house. All those in favor vote aye, all those opposed vote nay. Please record.

CLERK: 10 ayes, 0 nays to go under call.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: The house is under call. Will senators please return to their seats. Will all unauthorized personnel please leave the floor. Will senators please check in. Senator Coordsen, Senator Witek, Senator Bromm, Senator Schellpeper. Senator Beutler, will you check in, please. Senator Abboud, Senator Warner, Senator Engel, Senator Bernard-Stevens, Senator Witek, Senator Pedersen, Senator Avery, Senator Schimek, Senator Robinson, Senator Matzke, Senator Robak, Senator Elmer, Senator McKenzie, Senator Lindsay, Senator Wesely and Senator Wickersham, will you please check in. Senator Abboud, Senator Robak, Senator Lindsay. We are waiting for Senator Robak. The question before you is the adoption of the Chambers amendment to LB 106. All those in favor vote aye, all those opposed vote nay. Have you all voted? Please record.

CLERK: (Read record vote. See page 192i of the Legislative Journal.) 10 ayes, 25 nays, Madam President.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: The Chambers amendment fails. I raise the call. Is there anything further on the bill?

CLERK: Madam President, I have a priority motion. Senator Withem would move to reconsider the vote just taken on the Chambers amendment.