

that I think in the first paragraph, Section 1, that it describes the things that you're asking to put into the definition. It already says up there that these are agricultural chemicals that are used for consumption by or to be used on or which are otherwise used in caring for any form of animal life. The definition is already in that paragraph.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Dierks. Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Madam President, members of the Legislature, I have a comment briefly, I assume if you want to use the word means it does limit the scope of medicines to some extent. I'd have some concern about adding for animals although I would agree that the definition up in the initial paragraph in Section 1 clearly restricts it to animals who would normally, ordinarily constitute food for humans. I'm not sure adding for animals again that that could conceivably broaden the scope again, shouldn't, ought to be limited by the first but it might create confusion so that portion I would think would not be wise to add, but certainly if you limit veterinary medicines to only medicines for prevention of treatment that will narrow the scope somewhat of the bill. I'm not in the position to say how much, but I suspect it would narrow it in some respects.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I'm not sure if I understood Senator Warner entirely, but my amendment does not restrict prevention or treatment of disease or injury. It doesn't bear on those aspects at all. If you're uncomfortable with saying that these medicines should be used for the prevention or treatment of disease or injury to animals, then there must be some reason or some idea of using them beyond that purpose. We are dealing with a definition here. I can talk about in the text of a bill the term firearm. And we have a bill like...we had something on the law like that, but in the definition they included brass knuckles, knives, clubs and other things. So whenever we are defining a word in statute that becomes what we call a term of art, meaning that it has an artificial definition. If you were dealing in ordinary language in ordinary conversation with lay persons, the word would have the meaning that the dictionary gives. But because we are dealing in a specialized area and the word is going to have a precise meaning for the purposes of taxation, we give a definition. If words in statute always carried the definition contained in the dictionary it would