

SENATOR CHAMBERS: In line 15 it says, veterinary medicines shall include. Here's what I would like to do, I would like to strike "shall include" and have it read, veterinary medicines means medicines for the prevention or treatment of disease or injury to animals.

SENATOR DIERKS: I don't have any objection to that, Senator Chambers. That's...the operative part of that section is in the first paragraph, Section 1, it's already pretty well described in there.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Except when you give a definition, that definition tells what the words mean...

SENATOR DIERKS: Okay.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...anywhere else. And even though I'm still opposed to the bill, I am interested in having definitions be as precise as possible. So if there is ambiguity someplace else, then we go to the definition and we know just what it is we're talking about there. So that's what my amendment would do.

SENATOR DIERKS: How does "means" describe it any better than "shall include"?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, when you say "shall include" it could be other things besides those medicines that do what you say here. Either these medicines are the kind that veterinarians...veterinarians use or they're not. If it includes some things then that means it also includes other things. So if what is meant by this bill and this language that veterinary medicines means, and we're defining it, those medicines that are used for the prevention of diseases or curing of diseases, whatever they're for, in animals, then we don't say these medicines include those. Those are the medicines that we mean. Don't let pride of authorship stand in the way of us getting a clear definition. So I'm going to write that and bring it up there if anybody wants to comment on this one.

PRESIDENT ROBAK PRESIDING

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Mr. Clerk.

ASSISTANT CLERK: Madam President, I do have an amendment from