

May 2, 1935

LB 106

as a whole?

SENATOR DIERKS: That is a pretty broad question. I think it depends on what you're talking about. In the issue at hand we're talking about the populace as a whole, but more specifically to the production of meat animals.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. And veterinarians and people involved in the raising of these animals are the ones that would be affected most directly by this exemption if it were granted, would you agree?

SENATOR DIERKS: Veterinarians and who?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And people who are rearing these meat animals.

SENATOR DIERKS: Yeah, the producers, the producers of the animals, veterinarians, feed stores, co-ops.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And if we were going to talk about some of the things that Senator Beutler mentioned in terms of business equipment, machinery and whatever else, then we're looking at the sector or segment called business and they would be the primary beneficiaries of that exemption.

SENATOR DIERKS: That's true.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And you'd have to argue from that to say that maybe prices would be cheaper if they don't pay this tax, or service would be more available if this tax is not paid in order to make that exemption benefit the public as a whole. That's the about the only way you can reach that point, isn't it?

SENATOR DIERKS: Generally speaking, yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So you could understand whereas there might be some exemptions, you would support such as this one, it may be one of those exemptions that somebody such as myself would not support.

SENATOR DIERKS: That's the way it is right now, Senator.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Now, Senator Dierks, can you think of a time when you have been in the Legislature that I have supported an