

problems result, we begin to get calls from people about how unjustly, unfairly it is impacting on them and the difficulty it is creating in their life. So this once I hope we will look at this bill in terms of the ramifications it will have and not just the momentary gratification we might feel, as a body, by passing something and saying, now all child support which is delinquent is going to be paid; nobody will give up a driver's license; nobody will give up a professional or occupational license, which is, in my opinion, underestimating the obstinacy, the bullheadedness of some people. I am not going to support LB 592A, and unless we can do something with LB 592, itself, there is no way I could support it too. If we'd strip everything out of LB 592 except something dealing with visitation, maybe I would consider that because if all the other is taken out, we will have time to give adequate thought and discussion to the matter of visitation.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Bernard-Stevens.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Just briefly, Senator Hudkins and Kristensen and I were talking about, for reasons we don't need to get into, Prozac, and we were all looking back at Senator Engel, and then all of a sudden on the last vote, Senator Engel voted three times, if I remember right. You voted not voting, you voted no, and then you also voted for Senator Fisher.

SENATOR ENGEL: I voted no first.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Voted no first, then not voting, then you voted for Senator Fisher, so we are not sure what that means, Senator Engel, but we will get with you on that a little bit later. But I also am opposing the bill, LB 592, but I will be supporting the A bill. The Legislature has made a policy decision, they want to do this policy, at least on the first round of debate, and so I think it is proper that we advance the A bill, to meet those needs. Then there will be another battle on Select File.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Pedersen.

SENATOR PEDERSEN: Mr. Speaker and members of the body, I do not support the bill and I am not going to support the A bill because I once again need to state that we had an understanding, some of us in Judiciary Committee, that the visitation rights