

mean that's what I'm trying to...the point I'm trying to make and that so at this point it raises not the issue that I was trying to raise but it raises I guess two distinct issues that we have to make a policy decision on. If we wrongfully take those licenses away from people, if we cause those problems where you are...where you can't teach because, and I don't know if teaching certificates are included in this, Senator Day, I assume they are, if you can't teach because you've lost your certificate wrongfully, if you can't practice medicine because you've lost your license to practice wrongfully, if you can't drive that truck because you've lost that license wrongfully, who is going to bear the responsibility for doing that? And if we are, again, if it is a state...if we are trying to help the state, or whatever, maybe the state ought to be looking at that. Let me point out another thing, this isn't limited to Lancaster County on the question of records. Senator Pirsch, in 1991, asked some real pointed questions in a Judiciary Committee hearing, of Rudy Tesar, who is the Douglas County Clerk, or, excuse me, Clerk of the District Court in Douglas County, and Senator Pirsch asked about a \$97 million delinquency, or a supposed \$97 million delinquency in Douglas County, and he said I don't have them on the tip of my tongue but our delinquencies are extremely high. When I say high, our delinquency list goes back to 1957, when Senator Schmit introduced a bill to calculate all the delinquent child support, and there has got to be a way somewhat to purge, to purge those figures just for anything over 19 years. We should purge somehow, but that's a different problem. Senator Pirsch then followed up with a question, what you are saying is there are names on or included in that 177,000 announced that those people might be dead? He says, or totally have paid them directly and no one is squeaking about them. And that is the question that he talks about. He goes on and he says, I know that our delinquency list is not correct per case because of the direct payments that have been made to people. People who have died and we have no knowledge of it. Maybe the spouse has moved out of the state and things are just clicking over. They are pointing out that we simply don't have in place an accurate method of determining how much support is owed, if support is owed at all. He gives an example of a friend of his who didn't have an accurate reflection in his buddy's office. He says, through the...there aren't a lot that are not paying through the courts, but they are paying. Unfortunately, a close friend of mine, just to show you an instance, every year his ex-wife is filing a receipt with us, and for some reason both of them forgot to file it for two years, and all of a sudden he is