

the time on the debate on it.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Yeah, well, I suspect, Senator, that the body is interested in the issue, as they are interested in the number of other issues that you've brought up, all of which are things upon which reasonable people may differ. But I would suggest with regard to this particular amendment, that it is not drafted in accordance with what Senator Lindsay states as his purpose. Thank you.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature, I'd like to ask Senator Lindsay a question. Senator Lindsay, your amendment goes to AM1026, is that correct?

SENATOR LINDSAY: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And it makes a reference to Section 17 of AM1026.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I see. Okay, I've found where we are now. I was...members of the Legislature, as Senator Lindsay has done with this amendment, he has raised an issue that I think should be given serious consideration. When we are breaking off in the direction that LB 592 is taking us, there can be issues that have not been well thought out. A bill, such as this, may take some of its terms from legislation already existing in other states. When you bring legislation to your state in that manner, adjustments have to be made. I don't like the idea of the license revocation anyway. I may have to wait until Select File to draft some of the amendments that I have in mind because I am still trying to find my way in terms of what we actually have left before us now, in view of the adoption of the committee amendments, which had been amended. But what Senator Lindsay seems to be going after, let me ask him a question. Senator,...

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Lindsay.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...would you state succinctly what it is you