

reinstated. But if it is one of those situations where a later determination has to be made and the fee has actually been paid over, then it would be returned. So if you have any questions, I will answer them. But now I am going to say it again. There could be an instance where before the fee is paid over, a determination is made that an error had occurred. In that instance, you don't pay the fee at all. Your license is reinstated. If there is the situation, though, where the fee had actually been paid over because maybe you didn't have time to establish what you needed to establish, you did not want to delay having your license reinstated, you could pay the fee, get your license reinstated, and subsequent to that establish the error and then the return would be made to you. But I didn't want to have a situation where in every case you would have to pay the fee over, then it could be returned to you immediately. If you have any questions, I will answer them. I say, again, I shared it with Senator Day and she doesn't have any objection to it. I hope you will adopt this amendment.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Thank you, Senator Chambers. There are two lights on from the previous amendment. Senator Schimek, do you wish to address the Chambers amendment? Senator Chambers, your light was on previously, but, Senator Chambers, there are no further lights on, would you wish to close? No, closing has been waived. The question is the adoption of the Chambers amendment. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote nay. Record.

CLERK: 28 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on the adoption of Senator Chambers' amendment.

SPEAKER WITHEM: The amendment is adopted. I understand there is another amendment.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Lindsay would move to amend the bill. (See AM1900 found on page 1914 of the Legislative Journal.)

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Lindsay.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members. This is the last amendment I am going to be offering on General File debate, and then I've also passed around a copy of an article...couple of articles from the Lincoln Journal, when in 1994 there were, it looks like about 140 names that were...that were wrongfully