

referees themselves were making the decision. Now they have taken care of that by allowing that recommendation to be made to the district judge who ultimately makes the decision, but that goes along with what I was talking about in response to Senator Bernard-Stevens' questions about whether we are in fact streamlining the process. Because of that case and the Constitution upon which it relies, we cannot have the referee making the decision. The decision must be made by the district court judge. The district court judge of course must allow the opportunity for the parties to be heard. So because of that we are not streamlining things and if we are streamlining things then we've got a constitutional problem. But in that case there is also some dicta in the case, some other language in the case which makes reference to the differentiation between IV-D and non-IV-D cases and that is that IV-D cases that we cannot differentiate between them. The court in that case rejected the argument that anybody can pay a dollar and become a IV-D case. They rejected that as a rationale for differentiating. So I think there is a constitutional issue that is raised in this case and I think that Drennen case is one that I suspect it will be challenged on the first revocation, whether this bill, which I think it's clear that the addition of some of that language on the visitation side is probably generating some support or whatever for the bill but it does add that. So I do have a concern with that. And finally I agree with Senator Day that we can't just look to other states' figures and use those because other states have different situations and one of those is the Maine situation. When we hear about Maine which is \$13 million is what they collected on this. We can use that figure but we have to go back to what they paid to get it done. Maine uses what is called Nexus which is a New England child support collection or enforcement system which is a regional system. It's a fourth generation system. They paid \$10 million to develop it, it is implemented regionally. It is a centralized state repository for all this information. Now in Nebraska we don't have such a system. I think we've been promised that system but we've been promised that for a little while and...

PRESIDENT ROBAK: One minute.

SENATOR LINDSAY: ...I don't want to get in the consultant issue, but we've still got a question of whether that is ever going to develop I think. So the question is we are not comparing apples and apples. We're comparing apples and oranges because they have funded, they have stepped in and said a