

done administratively, but as I said, I swore to myself that I took my shot, I lost, I was going to let the visitation issue go and try to talk about the merits of the administrative license revocation issue itself and that's what I guess I would like to do. I frankly, since I just got here I don't even know what the Chambers amendment does so I can't talk to it. And I'll yield the balance of my time to Senator Chambers to allow him to talk to it.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Lindsay. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Senator Lindsay. Madam President, members of the Legislature, and, Senator Lindsay, what this amendment that I'm offering would do is to strike all references to licensed revocation on the visitation side. If that material is taken out, then we can look directly and specifically at the types of issues that Senator Lindsay is concerned about. What is the methodology by which we will attempt to enforce whatever rights to visitation there are, whatever method of enforcing those rights we may adopt. But because this language about license revocation is on the side where child support is involved does not mean we should, as I stated before, bring it over to the side where we're talking about visitation rights. We should, in the language of some courts, draw a bright, clear line between these two subjects. I know that we're dealing in an area which we could say is laden with emotional land mines. But those emotional land mines exist between the warring parties. We should not bring those land mines into our legislating process. We would do that if we just take wholesale things that we put into the law with reference to child support and brought them over into the area of visitation rights. That is not a wise thing to do. If there ever was an instance where one size does not fit all, this is it. We're dealing with the most intimate issues...

PRESIDENT ROBAK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...that can develop between two people either who were married or simply collaborated to produce children. We're dealing with parts of them. We are dealing with what some people say is their only means to achieve immortality and that is through their children, their children's children and so forth. So rather than clutter the argument with this talk of revoking licenses in connection with visitation, I'm asking that we strike that language. If you have any questions I am