

Then what I have offered as an amendment fits, so if there was confusion between what I told you and what you saw if you went to AM1026, if there even is such an amendment on this bill, strike all that and it goes to AM1453. But I hope from what I've told you it's clear what it is that I'm trying to do. When we talk about the language in the bill relative to visitation I would like somebody who supports that amendment, is there somebody in the house who supports that amendment? Is there somebody in the house...this is almost like the situation in "Changing Places" when Eddie Murphy was in this big club and it was full of lawyers and these cops chased him in there and he said, "Is there a lawyer in the house," and every man's head dropped, so based on the response there was not a lawyer in the house. Somebody supports this amendment because it was added to the bill. Then I'm going to change my amendment perhaps and strike all reference to visitation from the bill. And because I'm not in a position to draft that amendment at this point, I'm going to leave my light on in case somebody wants to talk on the issue of merely revoking the driver's license in connection with amendments, I meant with visitation, but what I intend to do is offer an amendment that would strike from the committee amendments all references to visitation and any matter pertaining to visitation. That's the amendment I'm going to put up there.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Schimek, to speak to the Chambers amendment. No? Senator Bernard-Stevens, to speak to the Chambers amendment.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank you, Madam President. Senator Chambers, when you finish with the Clerk I would like for you to answer a couple questions, but while you're doing that I guess I'm a little confused on where you're heading. I know we had a lot of discussions last week about the committee's tying of visitation, which in the bill now there still is some visitation things as Senator Day pointed out, but the tying of visitation to the child support payment. I know there was even a comment made last week that maybe an amendment should be drafted to give the court a level playing field where they could, if visitation rights were, for example, were not adhered to as the court had ordered, that the judge would have the flexibility to waive the child support payments for that time period that the mother had not granted visitation rights. I know there's a lot of discussion on that portion of it. I know Senator Lindsay had some amendments and I assume they were dealing with visitation