

citing I will tell you what the amendment does. You would then go to page 8 starting with line 14 and you would strike through line 17 on page 11. In the white copy that I'm amending you would strike what is listed as section 23 and on these other pages 8-11, you'd be striking sections 37-43. I will tell you what it is. Again, I'm trying to strike that language that relates to license revocation in connection with visitation. Now I have said on this floor on other occasions that I have been divorced for a good long period of time. In fact at this point I may have been divorced longer than I was married but I've never calculated it. I paid child support. I never challenged it. I had no problem in seeing my children whenever I chose to. In fact I spent a lot of time with them. I would take them to school. When there were problems at school I would go there. I took them on outings so the only real difference was that I was not living in the same house where they were living. Because the divorce was as amicable as it could be, and ordinarily I don't discuss personal matters, but I'm doing this for a reason today. My former wife was not concerned about obtaining a child support order, but I told her that as good a man as I believed myself to be, as concerned as I am about my children and I will always see to their support no matter what happened between her and me. The wise thing for any woman to do is to get a child support order so in case I stepped in front of a truck and had my brains addled, in case I became a conservative "Repelican" and was going to give up all my responsibilities, get that order. Then if I don't pay my child support as the judge said to Ray Charles, Ray's son right on into jail, there wouldn't be at that point the argument to try to establish that child support is due and the amount that was due. So I believe that a man should take care of his children. I believe that if the man is the custodial parent and the wife has the means, the wife should assist in the rearing of those children and should pay something on the support. I believe that child support is something which a man should assume willingly. The issue of visitation is not the same. There is no way that everything said with reference to child support can be said with reference to visitation. There is a tendency on the part of people to feel that when a divorce occurs and the mother is the custodial parent or if there were not a marriage and the mother is considered or deemed the custodial parent that the father automatically is not going to do that which he is supposed to do. And based on that presumption all kinds of developments have occurred in the law and society has developed attitudes toward men who are no longer with the mother of their