

know that's one of those policy issues that if we want to go back and change the policy for all of those...

SENATOR HALL: Well, we're changing the policy right now. I mean this bill is complete...

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: No, I'm not, I don't think.

SENATOR HALL: Well, I would argue that it's complete, if that's not the case then what's the need for the bill?

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Well, if the purpose for the change was to accommodate your concern...

SENATOR HALL: No, I'm talking about the underlying measure.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: ...I can take that out real quick.

SENATOR HALL: Well, I'm talking about the underlying...that's fine, we can talk about it all afternoon. I'm talking about the underlying measure. And my question is this, the argument is somehow it's not a change, that it's as close to existing law as possible. I just need to know why we have existing law the way it is and why this proposal is drafted the way it is? It's a simple question, and again I apologize that I didn't think we'd get this far today, and I would like to have been better prepared and sat down with you off the floor and talked about these. And I appreciate your willingness to address some of these concerns. I just don't know that they completely do that, that's the reason for my question. I go on, there's other provisions in the amendment that deal with the, as I remember, the green copy of the bill, there was one other area that I had a...really those were the only two questions I had.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: I think you also...my notes show that you had a question about removing the property tax administrator. That would be the last part...

SENATOR HALL: And you've addressed that, and I think that's...

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: That's the same...what I did...

SENATOR HALL: And I support...I have no problem with that, I support that portion of this amendment. And I very much appreciate that effort. I think the other area that I had a