

you want to do a count by count look at it, I am with you.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Let me ask you this, Senator. Rather than approach it that way, would you be amenable to an approach that would tax pesticides in accordance with what Kansas and Iowa are taxing them for the purposes of evening the playing field?

SENATOR DIERKS: I am more interested...

SENATOR BEUTLER: Then maybe we...then maybe I wouldn't have any objection, actually to what we're doing here.

SENATOR DIERKS: What we are doing with this legislation is just what you are asking. We are doing with this legislation exactly what you're asking. We are asking to not tax these pesticides based on the fact that they are used for human health products, and they do not do that in Iowa today, nor do they in Kansas.

SENATOR BEUTLER: But, Senator, they do tax pesticides in...

SENATOR DIERKS: Not those that are used for human...for food animals and human food lines.

SENATOR BEUTLER: No, but they tax...they tax pesticides, for example, that goes on crops, that go on crops and land.

SENATOR DIERKS: I didn't ask for a change in that. I am asking for a change in those items that are taxed for the human food chain.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Let me...let me ask you one more...

SENATOR DIERKS: I am trying to even the playing field on that item.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Let me...let me ask you with regard to the chart you passed out, so I at least understand it better. In the bill, there are three different categories. One is food, and the other is ag chemicals,...

SPEAKER WITHEM: One minute.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...ag chemicals, feed and veterinary medicines. The chart that you passed out, as I understand it, is a comparison with respect to veterinary medicines only, is