

state and it would still be a generous offer that we'd be willing to accept, but we should have the right to review that project, that building, the plans, of it's intents, it's a construction project that was going to be given to the state so that we can understand those things. The people responsible for the ongoing maintenance and the cost and the agencies who use that building and their costs have a chance to know everything they can about it. So my amendment would only clarify that language. It would also clarify that we are not interested in, never have been interested in and don't want to be interested in any monetary gifts.

SENATOR HALL PRESIDING

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Senator Lynch. Senator Cudaback, your light is next on the amendment. No, on the bill. Fine, thank you. Senator Maurstad, on the Lynch amendment. Thank you. Senator Warner, on the Lynch amendment. No. Senator Jensen, not on the amendment. Senator Wesely.

SENATOR WESELY: Thank you. Mr. President, members, Senator Lynch, I was trying to follow your explanation. Maybe you can help clarify for me. You're dealing with a section of this bill dealing with gifts to the state in terms of lands and buildings, is that correct? Is that what I heard?

SENATOR LYNCH: Yes.

SENATOR WESELY: Can you...and now that would be sent over to one spot through DAS, I think is what you were talking about, but does the Legislature, do we get...is there any change in this bill or with your amendment with the Legislature's role in the process of accepting any sort of gift or land or building? Is that...

SENATOR LYNCH: Oh, only that before an agency can accept a gift, before the state can accept a gift, we would be much better informed about it.

SENATOR WESELY: Okay. But ultimately, like currently comes through us, right?

SENATOR LYNCH: It doesn't...this amendment does not provide that we have the authority to accept or not accept, only to review that building or the plans for that particular building.