

here Senator Chambers talks about. I could make the case that this is hypocritical if we don't take care of the people that are working out there right now on the job expecting to be paid in a legitimate manner. That's why this bill is important and that's why the E clause is on it and that's why we need 33 votes when it comes to Final Reading and that's the reason the bill is here. Otherwise we'd just as well go home, we don't need the bill, but I think this is the responsible thing to do. Thank you.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Senator Wehrbein. Senator Brown, your light is next.

SENATOR BROWN: Mr. President and members of the body, I rise to oppose the motion to indefinitely postpone and to echo Senator Wehrbein's comments. Remember, we are speaking today about SOS and about providing the revolving fund spending authority. If we do not vote to pass this with the emergency clause, we have two outcomes. First of all, we hurt our current temporary employees, many of whom will probably be laid off in this process. And, secondly, DAS will recode the temporaries that they can to agencies. We will lose track, we will have no true record of the costs of SOS in year of 1995. We will lose track of the burgeoning growth of SOS. If we indefinitely postpone, DAS has truly won in this little battle because we have destroyed the very record of what was SOS. If we pass this bill, we have won because we have exposed the sorry mess of what SOS has been. We have gotten an executive order and an agreement with the public employees to control the cost of SOS in the future and we can continue to closely monitor those costs because we have a record. I would strongly urge to not indefinitely postpone, to vote to advance the bill, and thank you, Mr. Chairman.

PRESIDENT ROBAK PRESIDING

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Brown. Senator Brashear.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Madam President, members of the body, I rise to speak in opposition to the motion to indefinitely postpone and to express my disappointment. I truly am surprised. I know probably someone will say in a lighter moment there should be no surprise, but I am surprised. I really viewed LB 889 as an appropriate victory for process, for separation of powers for this body, for its authority, and for the people who will be