

and I would urge you to oppose the bracket motion.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Day. Senator Dierks, on the bracket motion.

SENATOR DIERKS: Thank you, Madam President. I am really not going to address the bracket motion. I wanted to straighten something out that I visited with Senator Chambers about a little bit ago. Liz Hruska came up a little bit ago and told me what the procedure is on the dollars that go to the Department of Social Services, Senator Chambers, and I think that if I remember right, if I recall what she said right, that the first \$50 goes to the mother, first \$50 of child care from the father goes to the mother. Then whatever else that she is getting from Social Services in ADC is paid back in that amount, and then she receives whatever is left over from her child care. And that that is received by Social Services is split like 60-40 with whatever percent going to the federal government, that they get from the federal government. So that is roughly what the record should show. Thank you.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Dierks. Senator Lindsay, on the bracket motion.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Thank you, Madam President, members. I rise in support of the bracket motion, not for identical reasons of Senator Bernard-Stevens. I am not trying to help the bill. I rise in support of the bracket just to delay it till next year because I don't think the bill ought to pass this year. One of the reasons, when we talk about...when we talk about the visitation side, that Senator Beutler mentioned, we haven't talked about the support yet, and that we've never coupled these things for 20 years, I suggest that is exactly where the problem is. That's exactly where the problem is because we don't couple them at all. We look at, on one side, it is a purely mercenary way of approaching...approaching the issue of parentage. On the other side, on the side with those emotional bonds, we put it on the back burner because it is not that important in that of the effect of what we are doing. I think we ought to be looking at both of them at the same time. The reason that this amendment, that the Day amendment was adopted that stripped out the administrative visitation enforcement, was because DSS, in that meeting, and I am going on what my staff has told me, DSS indicated very strongly they didn't want to do it. They simply didn't want to do it. I don't have any faith in DSS coming back