

of at least the majority of the committee. I believe it had two or three votes the first time, or something like that. The thing that I thought had been agreed on, and that there was told, was administrative enforcement on visitation and some of the same tools on visitation, meaning license suspension or license revocation would also be applied to visitation, and that was my understanding of the agreement.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank you. Senator Day, would you yield to a question, and the question I am going to ask you, beings you were there as well, and, again, I am just trying to figure out what the agreement was, and what has happened since that point. Was Senator Lindsay being correct when he said that was the agreement that was agreed upon in that room?

SENATOR DAY: Senator Bernard-Stevens, there was general discussion and, yes, generally, that it was going to be...I remember the remark that we give the judge the authority to do licenses now, and then we make it administratively in 1997. What transpired after that, when...we did not see the committee amendments. It was just a general discussion, an agreement. When the committee amendments came out, we looked at them and felt there was some problems in the way that it would be handled; some problems in efficiency, so we had a meeting and we invited Senator Lindsay. He was unable to come and his legal counsel came, with the Department of Social Services, and with the court, and we went over some of the concerns that were there. How do you define visitation interference? What triggers it that it would go into this administrative process? Were we going to be putting too much into that? The discussion, as I remember that meeting, leaving that there was going to be some amendments that would come out from Senator Lindsay's office addressing some of those problems that we brought up, and that there was pretty much mutual agreement there was problems. When there was no amendment forthcoming, I drafted the amendment and went back to the Department of Social Service and back to the court and said, will, this work, is this feasible, and we felt this was the best way to do it.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank...

SENATOR DAY: I never intentionally went against an agreement that I thought was made and there has been some misunderstanding.