

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Bernard-Stevens. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam President, members of the Legislature, there doesn't seem to be a great amount on this and I hope it is because people do see the wisdom of striking that language from this portion of the amendment. I say again, all of the revocation machinery, as bad as it is, would still be in 592 with reference to the child support collection. Senator Day's amendment with this language that I am trying to strike never was a part of her original bill. This part, that I am trying to strike, was not the main thrust of her amendment as far as she was interested in it. I have said those things and the opportunity is there for anybody to contradict it so I am not trying to pull the wool over anybody's eyes. I hope you will vote for this amendment to strike that language.

SPEAKER WITHEM PRESIDING

SPEAKER WITHEM: Thanks, Senator Chambers. Senator Wehrbein, you are recognized to speak on the Chambers amendment.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes, Mr. Speaker and members, I just wanted to ask Senator Chambers, I don't know exactly what you are striking. I'm sorry, I want it clarified.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: That's all right. If you want to follow it, I can tell you where it is.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: I've got it open and I've got it marked. I don't know exactly...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay, it deals with this language that would allow the revocation of driver's licenses, professional and occupational licenses of anybody that a judge decided to treat that way if the judge, the referee would find that this person had unreasonably withheld visitation or unreasonably interfered with visitation.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Okay, maybe I don't have the right page. What page is it?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: It would start...the first...the bulk of it would be on pages 8 through 11 where they lay out the procedures