

Day's amendment. We don't need this license revocation material to go along with that. Maybe a judge would never use it. But if you are in that situation where there can still be an argument as to whether visitation should even occur, remember where child support is concerned I, if I have to pay, can't just say, hey, I don't think I ought to pay child support and let's argue about it. No, there is an order that says I must pay child support and what I should pay. That issue is not open to doubt at that point. Now I can challenge the amount of it but we have something very specific there. Here we do have an order saying there should be visitation, but now we inject a totally subjective element by saying that the visitation was unreasonably withheld. By saying unreasonably withheld means that there can be a circumstance where it can reasonably be withheld, there can be a reasonable interference. So if you can have the withholding and you can have the interference, and under some sets of circumstances those things are reasonable, then you have a double subjectivity, whether it's reasonable or whether it's unreasonable. See, to say it's not one doesn't automatically mean it's the other, you have two separate concepts. It would be different if you said, under no circumstances can the visitation be withheld, under no circumstances can the visitation be interfered with. Then you don't have two distinct ideas, but you do have two distinct ideas when you say, it can be withheld and you're reasonable, it can be withheld...it can be interfered with and you're reasonable. Here is the point that I'm trying to get to. It is not necessary and, beyond that, it is unwise to have this revocation machinery in the statutes. I don't even want to see it with reference to 592. And, Senator Beutler, I would like to make a comment to. I will not support something simply because it goes after the big shots, the fat cats and the well-to-do, even if they ordinarily, as Jonathan Winters said,...did any of you all see a movie called Mad, Mad, Mad, Mad, Mad, Mad World? Everybody was looking for this buried treasure and they were talking about what they were going to do when they got it. And somebody brought up the issue of whether they're going to have to pay income tax. And some people said, no, nobody knows. And Jonathan Winters was irate. He said, yes, by God, we are going to take our cuts and we are going to pay taxes. He said, even businessmen, who lie, cheat, steal and gouge customers, they pay income tax so we are going to pay it too. Well, even if businessmen lie, cheat, steal and gouge their customers, there are some steps taken against even them, which I feel would not be appropriate. No matter how bad somebody is, that person can