

look at the decisions that I'm going to be making, I'm going to be doing it with, first and foremost, the children and their best interest in mind. I certainly empathize with the custodial (sic) parents, who feel that their visitation rights are interfered with, and I can also appreciate that the custodial parents, who sometimes are in awkward positions and sometimes whose children choose not to go on the visitation and who at times may feel very uncomfortable, are the ones that, I guess, I'm going to keep looking at first and foremost. With that, I'm not sure how I'm going to vote on these things, but that's my concern. I would like to take just a moment to express some concern about earlier that I may have misled people on LB 120 when it was on consent, and just say that I, after the vote, did have an opportunity to talk to representatives of OPPD and NPPD and they told me that they were not so concerned about standing in the way of economic development for the rural utilities. And so if I misled and said anything that would lead someone to believe that they were specifically standing in the way, I think perhaps it would be best to talk directly to representatives of OPPD and NPPD regarding their position on the economic development portion that we amended out of 120.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: One minute.

SENATOR PREISTER: So I wanted to clarify that and I apologize for taking this time but it did afford me the opportunity to do that. Thank you.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Preister. Senator Chambers, followed by Senators Crosby, Bromm and Fisher.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam President and members of the Legislature, these two items that we're discussing, payment of child support and visitation, are two different issues and there are different matters that pertain to each one of them. There is no way that they're going to be placed on the exact same footing because different factors do come into play. But I'm going to restrict what I have to say to Senator Day's amendment without even talking about the philosophy of that amendment, other than to say that I am opposed, in principle, to the idea of revoking a license which constitutes a privilege to do something for a reason not connected with violating the conditions to holding that license. A driver's license gives you the privilege to drive on the streets and public highways for as long a period as you comply with the requirements of the