

under that IV-D case, it's not one of the things that they deal with, so that would not be there. This is one of the reasons that we decided to put this off in the courts until '97 so we could really look at this and see what it would involve and get a better feel for how much of their time would be needed with it.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Okay, thank you. And how many do you have and who...across the state, well, this...is this kind of a pilot project or tell me the details of that a little clearer.

SENATOR DAY: We have child support referees in place right now. I believe there are two in Douglas County, one in Lancaster and another one that travels the remainder of the state to handle as a child support referee. So they have been there. They have been doing this. We're just adding something onto their job description.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: And that would be where you would need to hire another one perhaps?

SENATOR DAY: I think that would be a possibility. The child support referees that I talked to did not feel that this would be a real burden onto them. Of course, it's hard to tell. They felt they could handle it. The only one that I believe had some concern was the one from Lancaster County. So it may be such a thing that we have to hire another state one to help out in Lancaster County and with the rest of the state.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Okay, thank you. That's all I have for now.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Wehrbein. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam President and members of the Legislature, I think this is a terrible bill. I'm opposed to it. Senator Day did give me a copy of her proposed amendment. I haven't had a chance, even though I've had it for a few days, to evaluate it, and I want to tell you all that with this amendment, as with many that come before us, there is a lot in it that I'll bet nobody understands. But I would like to ask Senator Day a question first. Senator Jensen, I didn't say that just because you came over, but I wanted to ask...Senator Day, if a person's drivers license is erroneously revoked under your amendment that we're talking about...that's all I'm talking