

event of a city, any other communities, county, cities, villages?

SENATOR HALL: Senator Beutler, it would be counties, cities, municipalities, villages, whatever the group would be, the subdivision, the entity that would allow for this and it would require a vote before anything took place.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. So then as far as the charities are concerned, they would participate in all this only to the extent that one of these entities decided to have the vote and the people of the community decided to have gambling, then a certain number of the machines would be dedicated to this revenue sharing with charities? Is that correct?

SENATOR HALL: That's correct, but the charities' machines, the machines for purposes of the charities only apply to the licensed on-sale establishments...

PRESIDENT ROBAK: One minute.

SENATOR HALL: ...the bars and restaurants.

SENATOR BEUTLER: The idea of allowing local standards to control is interesting in a number of respects, first of all, it is local control which is something that this Legislature has always given a great deal of weight to. It's also interesting to consider it in light of pornography standards and the way that all operates, a field that is laden, of course, with moral concerns. The Supreme Court itself looks to local community standards with regard to whether something is in violation or not. In a sense almost, you're looking to local community standards to decide whether gambling is all right or not. Is that a fair statement?

SENATOR HALL: That is a fair statement because there is local input there. The vote of the local entity determines whether or not there's any expansion of gambling.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay, thank you.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Beutler. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam President, members of the Legislature, in all of our discussion we have to ask, who makes the money?