

is up here saying that they are not going to get prenatal care is mistaken. You are mistaken. Go check for yourself. In fact, Senator Pirsch had wanted to expand the number of people who could take advantage of prenatal care. That's...she wanted to expand that, to raise that from 133 percent of poverty level to 150 percent of poverty level, so more women would be getting prenatal care. That is what she wanted to do. What she did not want to do, and what I support her on, is giving cash, \$70 a month, \$71 a month cash, when that woman is pregnant, for incidentals, or for whatever they want to spend it on. In fact, if you're, you know, and I am not saying that women do this, but the possibility exists that they could go out and buy cigarettes with the cash. You know, I mean that's an argument that people are going to bring up and they are going to say that's bad. That's bad for the infant, the growing infant. So you are not even...saying that this is an issue of pro-life, to me, is mistaken, because I am not going to stand here and defend my pro-life position, and I am certainly not going to defend it to other pro-lifers that happen to disagree with me on this issue, but I am going to say that this issue, and I know Senator Chambers loves to sit and have us yelling at each other on this issue because it is embarrassing to me even, but I will tell you this is an issue about giving cash to pregnant women, and the state made this decision not to do that a couple of years ago when it was in front of their face that there was a budget crunch, and they said, okay, we have all these other programs that we are going to do to help, but we are just not going to give them cash money for that. Senator Pedersen came back in with his amendment saying that they do it in the last trimester now. That is the amendment that was adopted on this floor. And I think a lot of people who voted for that, and we will find out here today, didn't realize that there were all these other benefits that people can get during the time of their pregnancy, and that the only thing we are asking to balance some of this on is the cash payment of \$70 a month, and it would save us some money. So it is a fiscal issue, but it is not just a fiscal issue. We are just as concerned about the health issues of people who have turned to government to get some help at that time, and we are there for them. We are helping them, but what we are saying is there has, at some point, and I know not everybody believes this, there has got to be a balance there as to what we can afford because what is going to happen when we...when we run out of money, or when we drive enough people out of the state where we are not doing it anymore, which is already happening? We are already at, and Senator Brashear will