

perform a lawless one, has asked the Supreme Court to violate the law to advance his political agenda. The court had been asked by the lawyers on the other side...

SENATOR LINDSAY: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...to sanction the Attorney General for his frivolous activity and what the court said is that we will not...we will overrule that motion without prejudice, meaning that the issue had not been closed. So this Attorney General does not have a good reputation among the judiciary. He has ordered lawyers in his office to litigate matters which the lawyers in their best judgment felt should not be litigated because they are high profile and offer a lot of publicity and he has lost...the cranes even whipped him on one. Now I've never been whipped by cranes in court, but your Attorney General has. I'm going to undertake that study and...however it turns out, and I address these remarks to Senator Pirsch who happens today to be the lady in red. Senator Pirsch, no matter how much we think of an Attorney General in the positive or the negative, what is written and signed by him or her still is one person's opinion. That's all that it is.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Time. Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Bromm.

SENATOR BROMM: Thank you, Mr. President and colleagues, I was speaking with Senator Schimek and Secretary of State Moore and trying to determine whether or not the body was ready to move forward with this or whether we need a little bit more time to study the language and I'm not sure that we have an answer for that quite yet. I wanted to clear something up that Senator Chambers had mentioned in his argument earlier on that about whether or not my amendment changed something with regard to the situation of a forgery and it, in my opinion, and Secretary of State would agree, I think as would Senator Schimek, this does not change anything with regard to that. That's already in the law and in the bill preceding this, situations dealing with the possibility of forgery, so this doesn't open up any different standard or affect the forgery situation at all any differently than the bill already did, so if I gave a different answer to that, I want to correct that on the record. I guess the thing we want to be sure of here is that we're doing the best thing that we can do in terms of policy and, without taking a lot of time, I guess the reason that I brought this this morning was