

sonens, s-o-n-e-n-s, a name, and it usually has to do with somebody writing in somebody's name on a ballot, but it means the name looks to be the same or the intent is to write in such and such a name. So maybe instead of writing in my case, Ernie, they would write Ernest. Or instead of E-r-n, they'd write E-a-r-n, meaning that you're dealing with the spelling and I think there may be a statute that we have on that very subject using that term. But if what we're talking about here is something in addition to that, it ought to be made clear because we're deviating from what the original offering of the amendment was. Now I want to go to another aspect of your amendment. Your amendment uses the plural word "they". If they substantially match. We're talking about signatures and addresses. Would it be a substantial match of an address if a person wrote as an address on the petition form, 3016 North 31st Avenue, and on the...

SENATOR LINDSAY: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...election form it's 3630 North 30th Street. In other words, what does it mean a match, if they say street on one, avenue on the other and the digits are not the same in the address? Is that a substantial match? What does it mean?

SENATOR BROMM: I don't know, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And I agree with you, nobody knows. I'm going to have to put my light on again, but I'm raising the questions so that when I turn on my light next time I can just begin to make some comments.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Schimek.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the body, first of all, I'd like to address some comments made by Senator Bernard-Stevens because I do agree with what he is saying. I don't think that we should change the language of the bill just because of an Attorney General's Opinion and I would not be agreeing to this amendment if I did not think that it basically accomplished what I wanted to accomplish. But to try to go back and address maybe some of your questions, Senator Chambers, what I thought I was signing onto with this amendment, and I'm still thinking about the exact language that we have here, is a situation in which you described, if the address did not match, then that county clerk or election commissioner could not count