

in at this point in this stage in the debate. So at this point I would oppose the Chambers amendment, but I appreciate the fact that he is talking about some of these important points and I think that is a valuable service.

SENATOR WESELY: Thank you, Senator Bernard-Stevens. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: (coughs) As Senator McKenzie said, I'm all choked up for a reason other than being concerned about this bill. I'd like to ask Senator Bernard-Stevens a question.

SENATOR WESELY: Senator Bernard-Stevens.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Bernard-Stevens, are you looking at page 1393 so you can stay with me?

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Yes, sir.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Let's say that we leave everything in subsection (3) exactly as it is, then come with me to line 17 which is about the fourth line or the fifth line from the end of this underlined material so you'll know which 17 I'm talking about.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: In the section that would be stricken?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Okay.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Now suppose we do this, we leave everything else like it is, then in line 17 starting there we strike, "It is the intent of the Legislature", we strike that. Then we capitalize the word "these" and we say, "These issues shall be addressed by these groups," and after the last word in that group we add, "and unless they are no part of this law shall be implemented". No part of this law shall take effect, in other words, unless these things are done. We could phrase it however way you wanted to. We could put the phraseology at the top or at the bottom, but make it have meaning by saying because these matters are so important that unless they are addressed, no part of this law shall take effect. And before you answer that, let