

then insert language at another place in this bill and that place talks about the board and the department undertaking these studies then coming back with recommendations as to what ought to be done. So instead of the department and the board doing these things in the way of repeal and modification, they will undertake their study, they'll come back and make recommendations. And if their...they might, in addition to recommendations, give information justifying that recommendation. Then the Legislature would have another crack at whether or not we think that what they're suggesting is what ought to be.

SENATOR CROSBY: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And I will say again what I'm still concerned about. Sometimes a significant part of a delivery of services can be embodied in a rule or a regulation. So you can either look at that as part of a program or you can look at it as a rule or regulation. If somebody's authorized to lop off rules and regulations, which go beyond what the federal government requires, then that part of a program could be lopped off. And I don't want to see that done unless there is some oversight by the Legislature, I probably still would disagree. But if it's to be done I think it should be done through the Legislature and not just a board or a department. Senator McKenzie, do you all have you all's amendment? So, are you going to substitute it or are you going to add that...amend mine?

SENATOR MCKENZIE: Senator Chambers, we wrote it so it could substitute for yours.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: That's all right with me.

SENATOR CROSBY: Time. Senator McKenzie, our light is next. Mr. Clerk. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I would like to withdraw that amendment that I had up there.

SENATOR CROSBY: It is withdrawn.

CLERK: Senator McKenzie would move to amend. (McKenzie amendment, FA157, appears on page 1731 of the Legislative Journal.)