

race, it's a marathon and there's still about 16.2 miles to go, Doug. And that's the reason for the motion to indefinitely postpone. Frankly, the motion to indefinitely postpone is probably a little late. It should have come up sometime in Judiciary Committee had the bill gone there and been dealt with the way it should have been. That's why we're having the debate here on the floor, to be real honest with the body. That's the reason this thing has to be worked through, because it didn't go to Judiciary Committee. It was a Judiciary Committee bill that went to Revenue Committee. And the other motion besides the motion to indefinitely postpone is the one to recommit to committee. Maybe we'd have to recommit it to the Reference Committee and see where it would end up the second time through, if the one-liner were true to the language in the bill, because it's not a revenue bill. It went there, that's fine, but that's why we're having the debate we are here on the floor. It's not because people are trying to somehow derail the bill, they're trying to deal with it, and I believe in a very straightforward manner. We've done that, amendments have not been supported, some have. It's a process that we go through on General File, we do it on Select File again. But the opportunity here, now, right today is to deal with the issue of indefinitely postponing the bill. And we do that, we've had to deal with this issue on the floor because it didn't go to the committee of proper jurisdiction, and that's just the truth of the matter with regard to the proposal. Property taxes is a proliferary...it's a minor issue in this proposal. It doesn't...there won't...there won't be one bit of property tax relief in this proposal. There will be more property taxes, you will pay more property taxes with the adoption of this proposal than are paid today, and you're going to pay more state, sales and income tax for indigent defense, which probably isn't a bad idea, that's a good idea. But don't call it property tax relief, don't somehow say that we're going to cloak this proposal in a revenue bill when, in fact, it is nothing of the kind. It's a structure that says we want to change how we currently handle that system. That's fine, let's look at that. Let's send it to the proper committee when you're going to do that. But, no, if we feel we can't get it out of that committee, we like the committee when it will kill our bills, won't we, folks out in the lobby? We love it, we call it, I think I remember the reference was the 27 Yankees. When they kill all those damn bills that we don't want to deal with out there on the floor, we love them. When we realize that they're going to kill one that we want, we try to redirect the bill, we try to circumvent the system. All of a