

lot closer for people. You know, it would serve more people, it's in the central part of the state. The interstate is right there, we've got a lot more parking than Lincoln's got, and, obviously, there's a lot of things we could do. I suppose we ought to bring the courts with us because if we're going to have all these defendants, we need to bring the courts, and you may laugh at that, but that's the exact logic that was just given to you, along with a bunch of other rhetoric. And that's just not true. Obviously, Kearney would love to have those sorts of things, but that's the traditional pork barrel protectionism sort of things that I'm not going to engage in. It makes good common sense, the state courts, in terms of the Supreme Court, the Court of Appeals and the penitentiary lie here. We can save money by having those people here because that's a lot of it. Now are they going to run out and do a trial in individual counties? Yes, but you can drive out there, because that trial has been scheduled, you know what days you're going to be there. And a lot of those sorts of things mechanically, the Supreme Court Law Library, the law school, all those things are in close proximity here that you don't have access to rural Nebraska. I'd love to have those come to rural Nebraska. Kearney would welcome them to come. And I wouldn't dare take the press room, it's too small for the commission. And so I understand that this is a great forum, it's a good amendment to kind of poke holes and have some fun with. But, quite frankly, if you've got things that you want to discuss in the bill, I'd be glad to answer any questions. Senator Hall and Senator Lindsay, thank you, but no thank you for the offer to move it to Kearney. I think I'll wait for the big prize and that would be the State Capitol, and, at that point in time, I might even cosponsor that amendment with you. I would oppose this amendment and hope we could move on.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Mr. President, members. The discussion that Senator Kristensen begins is one of, I guess, arguing that somehow the opponents want to poke fun at the measure. Well, yeah, that's exactly right. I mean, I clearly do...I still...and it's partly because I guess it's not a way for me to kind of strike back, but I guess, in a sense it is, because I'm still highly offended by the fact that this is somehow a revenue bill. I mean, this is flat out a judiciary bill that was snuck through the Reference Committee, that's all it was. I mean, I didn't catch it, that's my fault. But look