

anybody out there who really had concerns in the past, and narrowly defines that these are individuals who have specific training and specifically trying to deal with medical nutritional needs. And I think it's narrow enough, with exclusions broad enough that it gets to the point of what they were trying to accomplish. And I don't know of any group out there or individuals who have any opposition to this and it would put behind us an issue that's been around for a while, or at least for now would take care of it. I would think that we could move forward. So I would ask for support for the Hall amendment.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator. Senator Robinson.

SENATOR ROBINSON: Madam President, members of the body, I have a question for Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL: Yes.

SENATOR ROBINSON: How many of these people do we have?

SENATOR HALL: Senator Robinson, that's a good question. I believe that 't's somewhere in the neighborhood of approximately 200, if I'm not mistaken.

SENATOR ROBINSON: Now the...there's criteria in the bill on what it takes to become one of the...

SENATOR HALL: Sure, yeah. And it deals with the...if...it can be found on page 25 of the amendment.

SENATOR ROBINSON: Yeah.

SENATOR HALL: You've got to pass the examination, yeah.

SENATOR ROBINSON: Now the board...you add people to the Board of Examiners, right?

SENATOR HALL: Yes.

SENATOR ROBINSON: Now someone that wants to become a nutritionist, nutrition therapist then comes in front of the board, to I guess discuss whether they ought to be a person like this, is that correct?