

able to clarify for me that aspect of it. And I appreciate your response. I'm opposed to the Crosby amendment also because I don't know that this is doable and I try to keep in my mind that we only try to take on things that we accomplish. But lines 3, at the bottom of the page on 1003, we talk about the Legislature declares that it's necessary to determine that potential impact, and we skip a line and it says, on all state agencies, all counties, all private and public social service providers, such as, and then it lists them. You know, that's going to be quite a task, the foster care system, the school districts, local communities, philanthropic and charitable entities in Nebraska, other public and private organizations. I don't know for sure but I think...I don't know that we've left anybody out and I just don't think that this study is possible based upon that language. And then we go down to the bottom of that page on 10...or 1004 and again the study shall include but not be limited to the fiscal impact and then we list all those again. And I guess I just...I don't think it's possible to do a study such as Senator Crosby would like us to do.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: One minute.

SENATOR MAURSTAD: I agree that we do need to do some studies relative to the impact of this, in addition to what the bill was amended into. We also have the Legislative Evaluation Policy Committee that I would think could, if need be, address the new welfare legislation, if I understand the intent of that committee. So I'm...I think Senator Bernard-Stevens' amendment goes some ways into meeting some of my concerns and I will support that but I urge the body to vote against the Crosby amendment. Thank you.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Maurstad. Senator Bohlke.

SENATOR BOHLKE: Madam President, members, this is one of those things that probably we should vote for the Bernard-Stevens' amendment and then I think we should vote down the whole amendment, only because it's so duplicative. We have to do an evaluation right now as part of the waivers. The federal government requires us to do an evaluation and it's quite detailed. And, besides that, this idea was taken to the Health Committee and voted down 7-0 because we recognized that we do have, and especially through the committee amendments, the task force already in place to study and evaluate. And, as I said, it's absolutely part of what the federal government requires in