

to me about a mother's right or a mother binding with her child ever again. You can do it, but I am going to give you the same thing I am giving you now. And I am going to bring you right back to what you said today, that you are a lying wonder and the truth is not in you. You have your father, the Devil, who is the father of lies. That is what you are going to hear, because if you tell me that a mother binds with the child for your own purposes, but there is no bonding for other purposes, then you are a hypocrite, and you are a liar, and the reason you are a liar is because you are intelligent, too intelligent to make a statement like that through inadvertence. We're dealing, in this bill, with convenience for religious and private adoption agencies. We are not talking about the welfare of a child. You all would be screaming to high heaven if there was a woman who gave up a child, then because somebody else...

SENATOR CROSBY: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...wanted it, she said, okay, I want to revoke that and take it back. You'd say, no, no. Since she thought about this and gave the child up, she lost all interest and she can't revoke it for that reason. But if she is revoking it to stop the father, here's again where you're hypocrites. You say, we want to put a man in jail to make him take care of his child, but then the man who wants to take care of his child, you put up a law and say we are going to stop you. We are going to force you on the one hand, and we are going to stop you on the other. When you are unwilling, we will force you; when you are willing, we'll stop you. It can't wash. My amendment I intend to have considered.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Chambers. For discussion on the Chambers' amendment, Senator Wesely.

SENATOR WESELY: Thank you. Madam President, members, I want to acknowledge, first off, that Senator Chambers is making a very important point, that trying to have a situation where we punish those fathers who are not willing to provide child support, aren't willing to take responsibility for the children that they've fathered, and then to turn around and not provide them an opportunity, when, in fact, they do want to take the responsibility, it is really at the heart of the whole bill, and why Senator Matzke and I are pushing the bill forward. We think that there are fathers out there, in fact, if given notice and given the opportunity, who would take responsibility, want to