

April 11, 1995 LB 712

SPEAKER WITHEM: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Chambers, yours is the only light on. Do you have a closing on your amendment? Closing is waived. The question then is the adoption of the Chambers amendment. All in favor vote aye, opposed vote nay. Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 25 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on the adoption of Senator Chambers' amendment.

SPEAKER WITHEM: The amendment is adopted. We are now then back to the Jensen amendment. Any further discussion on the Jensen amendment? I see none. Senator Jensen. Closing is waived. The question now before the body is the adoption of the Jensen amendment. All in favor vote aye, opposed vote nay. Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 27 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on the adoption of Senator Jensen's amendment to the bill.

SPEAKER WITHEM: The amendment is adopted. Next item, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Mr. President, the next amendment I have is by Senator Witek. (AM1588 appears on page 1612 of the Legislative Journal.)

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Witek.

SENATOR WITEK: Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, this is just a technical amendment. I know people say that all the time but this truly is and I would tell you differently if it weren't. What it does is on the Matzke-Bromm amendment, 1371 that was...has already been adopted to the bill, that deals with the section on the affidavit itself, and I don't know if you still have that in front of you, but in amendment 1371, on page 1, line 14, there is some language in there that states, I, the mother of a, and in parenthesis, (male or female) child state under oath or affirm as follows, and then you fill out the rest of the affidavit. My amendment simply strikes the language that says, a, and in parenthesis, (male or female) child, strikes that language out and inserts, the child at issue herein. The reason for doing this is because it's the hope that a lot of these procedures will take place before the child is even born. If the mother is in a proceedings before the child