

it talks about the biological father registry. Okay, and maybe I don't understand the process, but in order for me to be a part of that registry, do I...am I saying that I am the biological father?

SENATOR MATZKE: Well I guess you would have to define your question. I think the answer to it again is...

SPEAKER WITHEM: Time.

SENATOR MATZKE: ...the language of the statute.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature, we have a situation here which I think shows the venality of these adoption agencies, religious and private. We have a relationship which they say is to be lifetime, I'm talking about this adoption. Because of the fact that certain precautions have to be taken in the first instance that may require a bit of time, these agencies are trying to intimidate the senators out of doing the right thing by saying if you put in those requirements we won't transact any adoptions which shows you how shallow and lacking in true concern they are. If in order to have a clean break in terms of the rights of the mother and the father meaning the biological mother and biological father, we create these ideas in law and once they have been met, these requirements, the break is clean and complete. That should be what these agencies want. It is a relatively tiny investment in terms of the time that is necessary to get these things done in order to have a smooth path from this point onward. Instead they would rather keep the issue and the whole area murky where you're not sure what a court is going to do and thereby they create in their hypocrisy, arrogance and lack of concern, the situation where you have warring families warring over who shall have custody of this child. That's when the emotions are frayed and torn and you have newspaper articles, newspaper editorials and people who belong to neither family choosing up sides and sometimes presenting themselves at the homes of the respective families to shout out what their particular point of view is and bring down curses on the head of the family that they disagree with. The purpose of this bill should be to prevent those kind of things as much as possible by finding a way to define, in legal terms at least, and that means how the law is going to view it, the rights of the respective parties. Draw some